RESEARCH ARTICLE SUMMARY

NEUROSCIENCE

Drugs of abuse hijack a mesolimbic pathway that processes homeostatic need

Bowen Tan⁺, Caleb J. Browne⁺, Tobias Nöbauer⁺, Alipasha Vaziri^{*}, Jeffrey M. Friedman^{*}, Eric J. Nestler^{*}

INTRODUCTION: Drugs of abuse produce pleasurable feelings and reinforce consummatory behavior directed toward their acquisition. These same properties are characteristic of natural rewards that satisfy innate needs, such as food or water. Decades of research has shown that brain systems processing natural rewards are also impacted by drugs of abuse at the physiological, circuit, cellular, and molecular levels. These findings raise the hypothesis that drugs of abuse cause addiction by "hijacking" a common reward pathway, ultimately promoting drug intake while curbing other

healthy goals. However, the specific neural substrates for such a shared reward pathway remain unidentified.

RATIONALE: Identification of a neural substrate that processes multiple classes of rewards necessitates multimodal analysis of neurobiological functions. This includes pinpointing central nodes that respond to reward exposure, examining specific cell types within this brain node that encode distinct rewarding experiences within the same individual, and identifying molecular effectors that mediate cellular and



Abused drugs subvert neural substrates processing natural reward. Convergent approaches leveraging brain-wide FOS and circuit mapping (left panel, top), cell type–specific longitudinal calcium imaging in behaving mice (left panel, bottom, and middle panel), and integrated FOS-gene correlations (right panel, top) with CRISPR perturbation and single-cell transcriptomics (right panel, bottom) reveal a mechanism enabling drugs of abuse to commandeer natural reward processing systems. Repeated drug exposure progressively augments the activity of natural reward-responsive nucleus accumbens (NAc) ensembles, interfering with homeostatic need fulfillment mediated by means of Rheb.

physiological adaptations. For this purp we employ a combination of approache

cluding whole-brain neuronal activity mapping, in vivo two-photon longitudinal calcium imaging at single-neuron resolution, and singlecell sequencing after in vivo CRISPR perturbation of a candidate gene. These multifaceted approaches enable the exploration of multiple components that comprise a common reward pathway and allow us to study how repeated drug exposure "hijacks" innate needs through this shared conduit.

RESULTS: Using whole-brain FOS mapping combined with chemogenetic inhibition approaches, we identify the nucleus accumbens (NAc) as a central hub necessary for both cocaine and morphine to disrupt natural reward (food and water) consumption. In vivo longitudinal tracking of individual dopaminoceptive neuron activity in the NAc in awake, behaving mice revealed overlapping ensemble responses across drugs of abuse and natural rewards, with drugs producing greater levels of activation. Repeated exposure to drugs of abuse augmented cell type-specific neural dynamics indicative of an escalation of drug responses, and subsequently disorganized natural reward processing in the NAc after drug withdrawal. We then developed a "FOS-Seq" approach to correlate brain-wide FOS patterns with brain-wide in situ gene expression data. We identified Rheb, a gene encoding a small GTPase that activates the mTOR pathway, as being correlated with FOS induction by chronic exposure to either cocaine or morphine. By integrating in vivo CRISPR perturbation of Rheb with single-nucleus RNA sequencing in the NAc, we demonstrated an essential role of Rheb in regulating signal transduction pathways associated with drug action in dopaminoceptive cells, and in diminishing natural reward consumption after chronic exposure to drugs of abuse. Finally, functional mapping of NAc-projecting neurons from regions that are activated by drugs of abuse points to orbitofrontal cortex as a potential ascending node that curbs natural reward consumption as verified with chemogenetic activation.

CONCLUSION: We delineated a common reward pathway that enables drugs of abuse to interfere with the fulfillment of homeostatic needs for food or water. These findings provide mechanistic insights into the intensification of drug-directed behavior in substance use disorders.

The list of author affiliations is available in the full article online. *Corresponding author. Email: eric.nestler@mssm.edu (E.J.N.); friedj@rockefeller.edu (J.M.F); vaziri@rockefeller.edu (A.V.) †These authors contributed equally to this work. Cite this article as B. Tan *et al.*, *Science* 384, eadk6742 (2024). DOI: 10.1126/science.adk6742

READ THE FULL ARTICLE AT

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adk6742

S

RESEARCH ARTICLE

NEUROSCIENCE

Drugs of abuse hijack a mesolimbic pathway that processes homeostatic need

Bowen Tan¹†, Caleb J. Browne^{2,3}†, Tobias Nöbauer⁴†, Alipasha Vaziri^{4,5}*, Jeffrey M. Friedman¹*, Eric J. Nestler²*

Drugs of abuse are thought to promote addiction in part by "hijacking" brain reward systems, but the underlying mechanisms remain undefined. Using whole-brain FOS mapping and in vivo single-neuron calcium imaging, we found that drugs of abuse augment dopaminoceptive ensemble activity in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and disorganize overlapping ensemble responses to natural rewards in a cell type–specific manner. Combining FOS-Seq, CRISPR-perturbation, and single-nucleus RNA sequencing, we identified *Rheb* as a molecular substrate that regulates cell type–specific signal transduction in NAc while enabling drugs to suppress natural reward consumption. Mapping NAc-projecting regions activated by drugs of abuse revealed input-specific effects on natural reward consumption. These findings characterize the dynamic, molecular and circuit basis of a common reward pathway, wherein drugs of abuse interfere with the fulfillment of innate needs.

rugs of abuse cause addiction by inducing persistent neuroplastic changes in brain reward circuits that have been evolutionarily established to direct behavior toward the satisfaction of need states such as hunger or thirst (1). Drug-induced changes in the function of these circuits narrow the scope of motivation toward their acquisition, which interferes with healthy goals (2, 3). Several theories of addiction development and maintenance depend on this idea (4, 5), and further imply that innate neural functions that normally process natural rewards are corrupted by drugs of abuse. However, neurobiological relationships between drug and natural rewards are typically inferred across studies and in separate experimental subjects, thus leaving the underlying physiological and molecular mechanisms linking these functions unclear. To address this gap, we compared the response of key reward circuits activated by food and water to responses to cocaine or morphine in the same animals.

Results

The nucleus accumbens is a central nexus of drug and natural rewards

To establish a relationship between natural and drug reward processing, we determined how cocaine (a psychostimulant) and morphine (an opioid) affect behavioral responses to hunger and thirst (6). These studies were motivated by findings that individuals with substance use disorders exhibit marked deficits in appetite and nutrition (7). We first examined the effects of acute cocaine or morphine on feeding and drinking in fasted or dehydrated mice, using doses known to be rewarding (8)(fig. S1A). Fasted mice that received either cocaine or morphine consumed less food during the first 30 min of refeeding compared with saline-treated mice whereas morphine exerted a more prolonged suppressive effect on food intake that lasted 4 hours following refeeding (fig. S1B). In water-deprived mice, acute cocaine or morphine exposure likewise decreased water intake during the 30-min rehydration period compared with saline. Water intake recovered within 2 to 4 hours (fig. S1B).

We next measured the effects of repeated drug exposure on ad libitum food or water intake over the course of a 5-day treatment regimen. Repeated daily exposure to either drug substantially reduced food and water intake as well as body weight, compared with saline treatment (Fig. 1, A and B). We tested whether withdrawal from repeated cocaine or morphine also affected behavioral responses to hunger and thirst. Mice were treated with cocaine, morphine, or saline for 5 days, followed by saline administration for an additional 3 days to produce spontaneous withdrawal (fig. S1C). During this 3-day withdrawal, mice were fasted or water deprived overnight and then introduced into a new cage where they were provided free access to food for 20 min or water for 5 min. Mice with prior repeated cocaine or morphine exposure consumed less food or water compared with saline-treated mice (fig. S1D). We also performed a sucrose preference test to assess anhedonic-like responses in withdrawal. Although cocaine-treated mice showed normal sucrose preference, morphine-treated mice exhibited reduced sucrose preference (fig. S1D).

We next identified putative brain regions that serve as sites of integration for neural responses to natural and drug rewards. We employed brain-wide FOS mapping after acute administration of either drug (Fig. 1C). Using a SHIELD-based whole-brain clearing approach (Fig. 1C), we observed three patterns of FOS activation: regions showing cocaine-specific responses, regions showing morphine-specific responses, and regions showing shared responses (Fig. 1D). Cortical regions activated by both drugs included three canonical addictionrelated regions: anterior cingulate area (ACA), orbitofrontal cortex (ORB), and subiculum (SUB). Among subcortical areas identified, the lateral amygdala nucleus (LA), nucleus accumbens (NAc), caudoputamen (CP), and lateral septum (LS) showed shared responses to both drugs (Fig. 1E). To identify brain regions showing an augmented response after multiple doses of morphine or cocaine, we performed additional section-based brain-wide FOS mapping experiments to compare activity across distinct phases of drug exposure: acute, repeated, and spontaneous withdrawal (fig. S1, E and F). Clustering analysis identified several brain areas showing increased FOS corresponding to each phase, with a subset of brain areas showing differential activation after acute drug treatment versus withdrawal (fig. S1E). These included the NAc, LA, claustrum (CLA), and others (Fig. 1F). This necessitated pair-wise comparisons of FOS from brain areas that show similar responses to both drugs across phases of drug exposure. Among hundreds of brain areas analyzed, the NAc showed increased FOS after both repeated and acute exposure to cocaine or morphine (Fig. 1F). Moreover, NAc was one of the top-ranked brain regions exhibiting analogous patterns of responses to cocaine versus morphine across distinct phases.

The NAc houses two key subregions, core and shell, which exhibit characteristic cell type composition, circuit projections, and functions in motivation and learning (3). We focused on the NAc core based on its well-established role in coordinating motivated behavior (9, 10). We stereotaxically delivered an inhibitory DREADD, AAV5-hsyn-hM4Di-mCherry, or a control AAV5hsyn-mCherry bilaterally to the NAc, and 3 weeks later assessed food and water intake after combined treatment with clozapine N-oxide (CNO) plus cocaine, morphine, or saline (fig. S2A). Chemogenetic silencing of NAc neurons prevented the cocaine- and morphineinduced reductions in food and water intake and body weight during repeated drug exposure (fig. S2B). This chemogenetic silencing

¹Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065, USA. ²Nash Family Department of Neuroscience, Friedman Brain Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA. ³Brain Health Imaging Centre, Campbell Family Mental Health, Toronto, ON M5T 1R8, Canada. ⁴Laboratory of Neurotechnology and Biophysics, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065, USA. ⁵The Kavli Neural Systems Institute, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065, USA. *Corresponding authors. Email: eric.nestler@mssm.edu (E.J.N.); friedj@rockefeller.edu (J.M.F); vaziri@rockefeller.edu (A.V.) †These authors contributed equally to this work.





K-means clustering]. (**E**) Scatter plot of FOS levels in cortical areas in response to cocaine versus morphine (left). Scatter plot of FOS levels in subcortical areas in response to cocaine versus morphine (right). Common response: areas showed significant changes (P < 0.05) of FOS+ counts in cocaine and morphine groups compared with the saline group; cocaine or morphine specific: areas only showed significant changes in FOS+ counts in either the cocaine or morphine group compared with the saline group. (**F**) Similarity of FOS responses across different phases of drug exposure (top). Heatmap representations of brain areas after acute or repeated exposure to cocaine or morphine or after spontaneous withdrawal (bottom). All error bars represent mean ± SEM. NS, not significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.001.

also blocked the suppressive effects of the drugs on refeeding and rehydration during spontaneous withdrawal (fig. S2, E and F). We next administered cocaine or morphine to these DREADD-expressing groups, and saline to the mCherry-expressing control group. Fiveday repeated exposure to cocaine or morphine suppressed natural reward consumption and reduced body weight in the DREADD-expressing groups without CNO treatment (fig. S2, C and D). Silencing NAc neurons in the control group that received saline without drug exposure had no effect on food or water intake (fig. S2G). Histological analysis confirmed that inhibitory DREADD expression was targeted mainly to the NAc core (fig. S2H).

The NAc's principal projection neurons are medium spiny neurons (MSNs) which predominantly express either D1 or D2 dopamine receptors. These D1 and D2 populations exhibit distinct input-output architecture and are differentially engaged by drugs of abuse and natural rewards (11-13). We dissected the functional role of these two cell types in coordinating interactions between drug and natural rewards using an optogenetic approach in behavioral paradigms measuring key motivational outcomes. We recently demonstrated decreased food or water intake in a loss-of-function experimental setting, in which we optogenetically silenced these dopaminoceptive neurons in fasted or water-deprived mice (9). Our experimental objective here was to conduct a gainof-function neural activation that emulated drug-induced effects on consummatory behaviors. We first stereotaxically delivered AAV5hsyn-FLEX-ChR2 bilaterally in NAc of D1-Cre or D2-Cre transgenic mice, followed by implantations of optic fibers above the injection sites. We then optogenetically activated D1 or D2 MSNs in fasted mice with free access to food for 10 min, followed by 10 min laser off (fig. S3A). Activation of D1 or of D2 MSNs potently decreased food intake in fasted mice during refeeding. Mice with prior activation of D2 MSNs showed compensatory overeating after laser stimulation whereas this was not observed in mice with prior activation of D1 MSNs (fig. S3A). Similarly, acute activation of D1 or D2 MSNs potently decreased water intake in dehydrated mice (fig. S3B). In this case, we observed rebound water consumption after laser termination in both D1 and D2 mice (fig. S3B).

We then tested the influence of D1 or D2 MSN activation on generalized locomotor activity. Activation of D1 MSNs substantially elevated locomotor activity in ad libitum fed mice whereas activation of D2 MSNs substantially decreased locomotor activity (fig. S3C) (13–16). We also tested whether activation of D1 or D2 MSNs conveyed valence signals independent of overall locomotion using real-time-place-preference. Activation of D1 MSNs increased preference of animals for the stimulation side,

indicative of D1 neurons conferring positive valence whereas activation of D2 neurons caused an avoidance response to the stimulation side, indicative of conferring negative valence (fig. S3D).

Drugs and natural rewards activate an overlapping set of NAc neurons

To determine whether drugs and natural rewards activate separable or overlapping populations of NAc neurons, we recorded the activity of individual neurons in response to food and water consumption versus acute or repeated administration of cocaine or morphine. We directly tracked NAc D1 and D2 MSN activity at single-cell resolution using gradient-index (GRIN) lens-based two-photon calcium imaging in headbar-fixed, treadmillrunning mice (fig. S4, A and B) (9). Histological analysis confirmed that GCaMP6s expression was targeted mainly to the NAc core (fig. S4A). We first recorded individual neuronal responses during feeding and drinking after food or water deprivation, then before and after administration of drugs of abuse for five consecutive days, followed by imaging the same sets of neuronal responses to food and water during drug withdrawal (Fig. 2A). Responses of D1 and D2 MSNs to food and water consumption after a period of deprivation were similar to that in our previous report (9).

Cocaine administration elicited a pattern of D1 activation with a high proportion of overlap with those neurons activated by food or water (Fig. 2B). Only 5 of 111 total detected D1 MSNs (4.5%) were activated by cocaine alone. To precisely compare the magnitudes of neural responses to food and water versus cocaine, we quantified the Ca²⁺ transient peak amplitudes post food and water consumption versus post cocaine administration. Among the D1 MSNs activated by all three types of rewards (i.e., food, water, and cocaine), the responding neurons were more potently activated by cocaine with significantly greater peak amplitudes (Fig. 2, D and E). Cocaine also activated an ensemble of D2 MSNs but this was a much smaller number compared with D1 neurons, with nonsignificant overlap with natural reward (Fig. 2F) and no difference in activation magnitudes (Fig. 2, H and I).

There was also extensive overlap between morphine-activated D1 MSNs that responded to food or water (Fig. 2J) with 5 of a total 85 D1 MSNs (6.2%) activated solely by morphine. For D2 MSNs, the overlap between morphine and natural rewards was partial, with morphine alone activating 41 neurons versus 75 neurons that also responded to food or water (Fig. 2N). Morphine elicited a roughly equivalent cellular response in D1 and D2 MSNs, both of which exceeded responses to natural rewards (Fig. 2, K to M).

Cocaine and morphine increase locomotor responses in mice whereas striatal function

controls both locomotor activity and reward processing (14, 16). Thus, to segregate rewardspecific and locomotor-specific profiles of D1 and D2 responses, we compared the level of treadmill running to neural responses in cocaineor morphine-responsive neurons to characterize motor- or nonmotor-associated (i.e., putative reward-processing) activity profiles (fig. S4, C and D). We made this assignment in a nonbiased manner but in retrospect noted clear anatomic differences in the focal plane between the motor- and nonmotor-associated ensembles (fig. S4E). Using these classifications we found that cocaine synchronized nonmotor-associated D1 MSNs (fig. S4, F and J) to a greater degree than motor-associated neurons (fig. S4, H and J), which only showed modest synchronization at 40 min (P = 0.038). By contrast, cocaine did not synchronize the activity of D2 MSNs (fig. S4, F and J). On the other hand, morphine synchronized the nonmotor D2 MSNs (fig. S4, G and J), but not D1 MSNs (fig. S4, I and J). We also found a significant interaction effect between each drug reward and each cell type (fig. S4J).

Repeated drug exposure tunes cell type-specific NAc dynamics

Repeated drug exposure causes dynamic, cumulative plasticity within the NAc that has been suggested to contribute to behavioral abnormalities underlying addiction (1, 17-20). To examine whether repeated exposure to cocaine or morphine causes neuroplastic changes within ensembles of D1 and D2 neurons, we utilized tensor component analysis (TCA) (Fig. 3A), which reduces and organizes multi-trial neuronal dynamics into lower-dimensional factors, effectively subgrouping the responding neurons based on their within-trial dynamics and across-trial evolution (21). A subset of D1 MSNs showed amplified responses to cocaine over 5 days (Fig. 3B), whereas a separate group of D1 MSNs that did not respond to acute cocaine displayed decreasing activity over the course of drug treatment (Fig. 3C). Repeated exposure to cocaine did not show an amplifying effect on D2 MSN activity (Fig. 3, F and G). By contrast, repeated morphine exposure amplified subsets of both D1 and D2 neural responses over time (Fig. 3, J and N), while, similar to cocaine, the inactive D1 neural cluster showed a decreasing trend over trials (Fig. 3K). The distinct augmentation of neural responses induced by repeated exposure to cocaine versus morphine identifies drug-specific-potentially pathological-changes in D1 versus D2 MSNs within NAc. This shift in ensemble dynamics was not observed throughout serial testing with natural rewards (9).

Withdrawal from drug exposure disorganizes neural responses to natural rewards

A hallmark of addiction is the propensity for relapse after periods of abstinence, suggesting



Fig. 2. An overlapping set of dopaminoceptive neurons exhibit preferential activation in response to drugs of abuse over natural rewards. (A) Schematic of the experimental design for comparing neuronal responses to natural versus drug rewards. (B) Venn diagram of D1 MSNs activated by food, water, or cocaine; n = 111 neurons pooled from three mice across all sessions recorded. (C) Distribution of average peak responses of D1 MSNs activated by food, water, or cocaine. (D) Distribution of preferential activation strength of D1 MSNs between food/water versus cocaine. (E) Comparison of peak responses of the neurons activated by food, water, and cocaine (n = 44 neurons, two-tailed Wilcoxon test). (F) Venn diagram of activated D2 MSNs anong food, water, and cocaine; n = 46 neurons pooled from three mice across all sessions recorded. (G) Distribution of preferential activated by food, water, and cocaine; n = 46 neurons pooled from three mice across all sessions recorded. (G) Distribution of preferential activated by food, water, and cocaine; (\mathbf{H}) Distribution of preferential activated by food, water, and cocaine. (H) Distribution of preferential activation strength of D2 MSNs between food/water versus cocaine. (I) Comparison of the peak responses of the neurons activated by food/water and

cocaine (n = 5 neurons, two-tailed Wilcoxon test). (**J**) Venn diagram of D1 MSNs activated by food, water, or morphine; n = 85 neurons pooled from three mice across all sessions recorded. (**K**) Distribution of average peak responses of the D1 MSNs activated by food, water, and morphine. (**L**) Distribution of preferential activation strength of D1 MSNs between food/water versus morphine. (**M**) Comparison of the peak responses of the above neurons activated by food/water and morphine (n = 33 neurons, two-tailed Wilcoxon test). (**N**) Venn diagram of D2 MSNs activated by food, water, or morphine. n = 170 neurons pooled from three mice across all sessions recorded. (**O**) Distribution of average peak responses of the D2 MSNs activated by food, water, and morphine. (**P**) Distribution of preferential activation strength of D2 MSNs between food/water versus morphine. (**Q**) Comparison of the peak responses of the neurons activated by food/water and morphine (n = 38 neurons, two-tailed Wilcoxon test). All error bars represent mean ± SEM. NS, not significant, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001.

Fig. 3. Repeated cocaine or morphine exposure augments the activity of subsets of dopaminoceptive neurons. (A) Schematic of tensor component analysis (TCA). (B) Cocaine-induced D1 neural state 1 and linear regression of the representation of neural state 1 across all sessions. (C) Cocaine-induced D1 neural state 2 and linear regression of the representation of neural state 2 across all sessions. (D) Loading factors of neurons contributing to state 1 relative to state 2 (n = 119 neurons merged from three mice across)all 15 sessions). (E) Comparison of D1 MSNs positively contributing to cocaine-induced neural state 1 between session 1 and 5 (n = 46 neurons, two-tailed Wilcoxon test). (F) Cocaine-induced D2 neural state 1 and linear regression of the representation of neural state 1 across all sessions. (G) Cocaine-induced D2 neural state 2 and linear regression of the representation of neural state 2 across sessions. (H) Loading factors of neurons contributing to state 1 relative to state 2 (n = 45 neurons merged from three mice across)all 15 sessions). (I) Comparison of D2 MSNs positively contributing to cocaine-induced neural state 1 between session 1 and 5 (n = 26 neurons, Wilcoxon). (J) Morphineinduced D1 neural state 1 and linear regression of the representation of neural state 1 across all sessions. (K) Morphine-induced D1 neural state 2 and linear regression of the representation of neural state 2 across all sessions. (L) Loading factors of neurons contributing to state 1 relative to state 2 (n = 95 neurons merged from three mice across all 15 sessions). (M) Comparison of D1 MSNs positively contributing to morphine-induced neural state 1 between session 1 and 5 (n = 68 neurons, Wilcoxon). (**N**) Morphineinduced D2 neural state 1 and linear regression of the representation of neural state 1 across all sessions. (**0**) Morphine-induced D2 neural state 2 and linear regression of the representation of neural state 2 across all sessions. (P) Loading factors of neurons contributing to state 1 relative to state 2 (n =155 neurons merged from three mice across all 15 sessions). (Q) Comparison of D2 MSNs positively contributing to morphine-induced neural state 1 between session 1 and 5 (n = 97 neurons, two-tailed Wilcoxon test). All error bars represent mean ± SEM. NS, not significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.

Cocaine

Morphine

that prior consumption of drugs of abuse causes protracted disruptions in reward processing. We therefore examined whether withdrawal from repeated drug exposure interferes with homeostatic responses to food or water. Two days after the last drug injection (i.e., on day 7), mice were fasted (or dehydrated) and allowed to consume food (or water); the same D1 or D2 MSNs were then imaged. During refeeding, three distinct clusters are activated during the

consummatory phase correlating with meal initiation, continued feeding, and meal cessation (9). However, we found that during cocaine withdrawal these three D1 neuronal clusters were entirely disordered with significantly reduced variances. This change was captured by a reduced percentage of activated D1 MSNs and a diminution of correlated neuronal activity, with the reduced variance ratio explained by the top three principal components (PCs) representing the structure of neural dynamics (Fig. 4, A to E). However, cocaine withdrawal did not alter D2 neuronal responses to natural rewards (Fig. 4, F to J). By contrast, during morphine withdrawal D2 MSNs showed markedly increased activation with increased variance ratio explained by the top three PCs (Fig. 4, P to T), whereas morphine withdrawal did not alter the responses of D1 MSNs (Fig. 4, K to O).



Fig. 4. Disorganized cell type-specific responses to natural rewards following cocaine or morphine withdrawal. (A and B) Representative heatmaps of D1 neuronal responses (top panels) to food prior to cocaine exposure or following cocaine withdrawal (n = 176matched neurons), and nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) representation of neuronal states labeled by k-means clustering (bottom panels) (C) Percentage of D1 MSNs activated by food or water (n = 914 matched neurons, 13 matched sessions, three mice). (D) Variances explained by top three principal components (PCs) during food and water consumption (n = 13matched sessions, three mice). (E) D1 responses to food and water (n = 914 matched neu-)rons). (F and G) Representative heatmaps of D2 responses to food prior to cocaine exposure or following cocaine withdrawal (n = 118matched neurons), and NMF representation of neuronal states. (H) Percentage of D2 MSNs activated by food or water (n = 488)neurons, 12 sessions, three mice). (I) Variances explained by top 3 PCs during food and water consumption (n = 12sessions, three mice). (J) D2 responses to



food and water pre/post cocaine (n = 488 matched neurons). (**K** and **L**), Representative heatmaps of D1 responses to food prior to morphine exposure or following morphine withdrawal (n = matched 52 neurons), and NMF representation of neuronal states. (**M**) Percentage of D1 MSNs activated by food or water pre/post morphine (n = 587 matched neurons, 10 sessions, three mice). (**N**) Variances explained by top 3 PCs during food and water consumption (n = 10 sessions, three mice). (**O**) D1 responses (n = 587 matched neurons). (**P** and **Q**) Representative heatmaps of D2 responses to food prior to morphine exposure or following morphine withdrawal (n = 284 matched neurons) (**N**) and NMF representation of neuronal states. (**R**) Percentage of D2 MSNs activated by food or water (n = 1174 neurons, 11 sessions, three mice). (**S**) Variances explained by top 3 PCs during food and water consumption (n = 11 sessions from three mice). (**T**) D2 responses to food and water (n = 1174 neurons). The Fisher's exact test was used to compare the percentages of neurons. The two-tailed paired *t* test was used to compare variance ratios. The two-tailed Wilcoxon test was used to compare the neuronal responses. All error bars represent mean ± SEM. NS, not significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.001.

Rheb mediates drug-induced interference of natural reward processing These calcium imaging findings establish a

model of neural dynamics wherein drugs of abuse modulate the activity of individual NAc neurons that normally process physiological needs. To explore the potential mechanisms by which drugs alter neural dynamics, we developed an in silico approach termed "FOS-Seq" that leverages the aforementioned brain-wide activity mapping data to search for potential molecular substrates essential for the effects of drug rewards on food and water consumption (22). We computationally compared the anatomic distribution of FOS to that of individual genes in the Allen Brain Atlas and computed Pearson Correlation Coefficients (PCC) between brain-wide FOS activity vectors and individual in situ expression vectors (ISH vectors) for each gene (Fig. 5A and fig. S5A) (23). This approach reliably captured canonical marker genes temporally associated with the addicted state (Fig. 5, B and C, and fig. S5, B and C). Specifically, after repeated exposure to cocaine, we identified a positive correlation between FOS and Drd1, Drd2, Drd3, Deaf1, Fosb, and Lcn2 expression (Fig. 5). Additionally, the Oprl1 and *Rxra* genes were negatively correlated with FOS whereas the Oprm1 gene was not correlated with FOS (Fig. 5B). By contrast, repeated exposure to morphine elicited positive correlations between FOS and Fosb and Crebbp (Fig. 5C). We also found a negative correlation between FOS and Agt, Htr2c, Oprl1, Oprm1, and Oprk1 expression (Fig. 5C). By contrast, the reference "housekeeping" gene serving as a negative control, *Hprt*, was not significantly correlated with either group (Fig. 5, B and C). To validate this in silico approach, we conducted the same analysis for FOS activity vectors from acute exposure and spontaneous withdrawal of cocaine or morphine. Again, this approach consistently captured canonical marker genes known to show changes in gene expression in response to each of the two drugs of abuse (fig. S5, D and E). To directly identify genes from FOS-Seq that are shared between repeated cocaine and morphine exposure, we generated a scatter plot of PCCs for each gene by analyzing the intersection among those that were significantly correlated with FOS (Fig. 5D). We found Rheb as a top-ranked gene positively correlated with FOS, and shared by repeated exposure to cocaine or morphine (Fig. 5D). Rheb encodes a GTP-binding protein that phosphorvlates mTOR and activates downstream pathways (24). Several other genes in the mTOR pathway are likewise significantly correlated with FOS activity (fig. S5, C and D) (31-36).

Because we found that inhibiting NAc neurons blunts the ability of drugs of abuse to suppress natural reward consumption (fig. S2), we tested whether *Rheb* may have a role in coordinating this effect. We generated a region-specific

Fig. 5. Rheb regulates cell type-specific signal transduction and is necessary for the ability of repeated cocaine and morphine exposure to suppress natural reward consumption. (A) Schematic of the in silico FOS-Seq approach to identify genes associated with brain-wide FOS patterns. Genes with Pearson Correlation Coefficient > 0.15 or < -0.15and P < 0.05 are classified as positively correlated or negatively correlated genes. Adjusted P-values are FDR-corrected at 5% threshold. (B) Volcano plot of genes associated with repeated exposure to cocaine. (C) Volcano plot of genes associated with repeated exposure to morphine. (D) Scatter plot of Pearson coefficient from genes associated with repeated exposure to cocaine versus Pearson coefficient from genes associated with repeated exposure to morphine. (E) Schematic of in vivo NAc region-specific knockout of Rheb gene by co-expressing Cre and Rheb-sgRNAs or their control scrambled-sgRNAs in NAc core in LSL-Cas9 transgenic mice. (F) Immunohistochemistry validation of pS6 levels in the NAc from the Rheb knockout (Rheb-KO) group versus the Control group at baseline (scale bar: 100 µm). (G) Ouantification of total pS6 fluorescent intensity in the NAc (n = 6 sections per group, with 2 sections per animal, three animals per group. The 2 sections were each chosen from anterior and posterior NAc.



Control

Control

Rheb-KO

Rheb-KO

Rheb-KO Control

with at least 200 μ m apart). (H) Schematic of snRNA-seq after CRISPR perturbations (n = 7001 cells mapped with either sgRNA). (I) Distribution of *Drd1*+ cells in the UMAP. (J) Differentially expressed genes in Rheb-KO cells versus control cells in the D1-MSNs1 cluster (n = 598 and 390 cells, respectively). (**K**) Distribution of Drd2+ cells in the UMAP. (L) Differentially expressed genes in Rheb-KO cells versus control cells in the D2-MSNs1 cluster (n = 449 and 375 cells, respectively). (M) Venn diagram of genes significantly regulated by Rheb-KO between D1-MSNs1 and D2-MSNs1 clusters. (N and O) Comparisons of 5-day averaged daily food and water intake (grams) as well as weight (percent) after 5-day drug exposure in the Rheb-KO group treated with saline for 5 days followed by another 5-day cocaine or morphine treatment (*n* = 10, and 8 for the Control and *Rheb*-KO groups, respectively. Two-way ANOVA with Šidák's multiple comparisons). All error bars represent mean \pm SEM. NS, not significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.

knockout of Rheb or its control by stereotaxically delivering AAV5-hsyn-Cre and AAV5-RhebsgRNAs-hsvn-mCherry or AAV5-control-sgRNAshsyn-mCherry bilaterally in the NAc core of LSL-Cas9 transgenic mice (Fig. 5E). We first immunohistochemically probed levels of phospho-S6 (pS6, a ribosomal protein), a well-established marker for RHEB-mTOR activity (25), in the NAc of the Rheb knockout and control mice (Fig. 5F). The Rheb knockout (Rheb-KO) group showed decreased pS6 fluorescence in the NAc core compared with the control group (Fig. 5, F and G, and fig. S7E), suggesting high knockout efficiency of Rheb with this CRISPR approach. We conducted single-nucleus RNA-sequencing (snRNAseq) from neurons in the NAc core and mapped those transduced with sgRNAs post hoc to examine cell type-specific effects of Rheb knockout (Fig. 5H). snRNAseq of NAc core revealed 18 different clusters that were marked by single or double molecular markers (fig. S6, A and B). D1 (Drd1+) and D2 (Drd2+) cells were spatially segregated in the UMAP (Fig. 5, I to K). Among these cells, D1 MSNs were further comprised of three subtypes: cluster1 Drd1/Pdyn (D1-MSN1), cluster5 Drd1/Tshz1 (D1-MSN2), and cluster11 Drd1/Drd3 (D1-MSN3); D2 MSNs were also comprised of three subtypes: cluster2 Drd2/ P2ry1 (D2-MSN1), cluster7 Drd2/Reln (D2-MSN2), and cluster14 Drd2/Htr7 (D2-MSN3).

Using our snRNAseq results, we evaluated how Rheb knockout affected D1 and D2 MSNs. Prior reports show that in vivo CRISPR perturbation induces both frameshift and in-frame mutations, thus resulting in subsets of cells that escape perturbations (26, 27). To parse these "escaped" cells, we applied Mixscape analysis (26), a validated algorithm that classifies Rheb-sgRNAs+ cells into perturbed and escaped cells compared with Control-sgRNAs+ cells (fig. S6C). Perturbed cells were specific within D1-MSN1 and D2-MSN1 clusters, two main subtypes of D1 and D2 MSNs (fig. S6D). We next performed differential gene expression analysis on perturbed versus control cells from D1-MSN1 and D2-MSN1 clusters (Fig. 5, J and L). Rheb knockout decreased expression of Htr2c, which encodes the 5HT2C serotonin receptor, and increased expression of Cacnb2, which encodes a voltage-gated calcium channel subunit, as well as Cnr1, which encodes the CB1 cannabinoid receptor, in both D1-MSN1 and D2-MSN1 clusters (Fig. 5, J and L). In contrast to this common set of genes, Rheb knockout in D1-MSN1 specifically decreased Pde1a and Pde4d, which encode phosphodiesterase enzymes associated with cAMP signal transduction. In D2-MSN1, Rheb knockout decreased expression of Drd2 (Fig. 5, J and L). Overall, Rheb knockout in D1-MSN1 and D2-MSN1 led to transcriptional regulation of both common and distinct subsets of genes (Fig. 5M). Gene ontology analysis revealed that distinct pathways within each cell type were affected by Rheb knockout (fig. S6E).

Considering that Rheb knockout produces transcriptomic consequences that are associated with drug signaling within the NAc core, we examined whether Rheb has a causal role in mediating the behavioral effects of drugs of abuse on natural reward consumption. Mice received viral transduction of Rheb-sgRNAs or control-sgRNAs in the NAc core and were subsequently administered saline for 5 days, followed by an additional 5 days of repeated exposure to cocaine or morphine. In the knockout group, cocaine and morphine no longer decreased food or water intake or body weight compared with prior saline treatment in the same animals (Fig. 5, N and O, and fig. S7, B and D). By contrast, the control-sgRNA group consistently showed reduced consumption of natural rewards by both drugs (Fig. 5, N and O, and fig. S7, A and C). We also observed a significant interaction effect between treatment (saline versus cocaine or morphine) and perturbation (control versus Rheb-KO) (Fig. 5, N and O).

Identification of NAc inputs for integration of natural and drug reward

The NAc receives inputs from numerous regions that carry information relevant to reward processing across drug classes (11, 28-30). By contrast, there are few direct inputs from brain regions that sense physiological needs such as arcuate nucleus neurons that regulate feeding or subfornical organ neurons that regulate fluid intake (31-33). We set out to identify how signals reflecting physiological needs relay to the NAc. We delivered rAAV2-retro-CAG-GFP virus unilaterally to the NAc to label monosynaptic inputs. Mice then received repeated injections of cocaine, morphine, or saline (figs. S8 and S9), followed by SHIELD-based wholebrain mapping of FOS and GFP expression in the same brain. This enabled us to overlay sites of FOS activation with sites that project monosynaptically to NAc and express GFP from the retrograde NAc tracer. We then overlaid GFP density and FOS activity in the same brain in response to each stimulus (figs. S8 and S9). LS, SUB, anterior dorsal thalamus (ATN), prefrontal cortex (ORB, ILA, PL, and ACA), ectorhinal area (ECT), and amygdala (LA, CLA) showed FOS after both cocaine and morphine treatment while also showing strong retrograde GFP signals from NAc (fig. S10, A and C). To examine the causal roles of these nodes, we conducted gain-of-function chemogenetic activation experiments. We delivered rgAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq) into the NAc core and delivered AAV5-hSvn-Cre to different mouse groups (excluding the control group) in the following areas: LS, ORB, ventral SUB (vSUB), ATN/aPVT, ACA, LA/BLA, medial PFC (mPFC: ILA, PL, Cg1), or CLA/ECT (fig. S10, D and E). This combined retrograde-DREADD and Cre-labeling strategy enables specific expression of an activating-DREADD in NAc-projecting neurons in each of these areas.

CNO was administered to each group of mice and food or water consumption was measured. Activation of LS or ORB neurons among these 8 NAc-projecting nodes decreased food consumption (fig. S10D). Moreover, only the activation of ORB neurons decreased water consumption (fig. S10D).

Discussion

Divergent cellular dynamics link drug and natural reward processing

Our ensemble imaging data revealed that cocaine and morphine more robustly activate neurons within NAc that also respond to natural rewards in a cell type-specific manner. Repeated exposure to these drugs also caused progressive tuning of response patterns, which contrasts with the fixed neural responses to repeated consumption of palatable food or water (9). These results imply that drug exposure dysregulates a highly coordinated system that normally matches physiological need to appetitive behavior. Further, we found that cocaine- and morphine-induced changes in NAc cellular dynamics differentiated their preferential actions across D1 and D2 MSNs. Consistent with evidence from previous studies (34), we observed that cocaine almost exclusively activates D1 MSNs and amplifies their activity with repeated exposure whereas morphine activates and amplifies both D1 and D2 MSN activity. This cell type-specific evolution of NAc dynamics could reflect divergent neural substrates that coordinate separable responses across drug classes and that are computed in the same brain region to enhance the reward value of each drug while interfering with value processing for natural rewards. In addition to physiological symptoms, withdrawal from drug intake produces dysphoria and negative affect, which potentially reflect a rebound from positive to negative valence. D2 MSN activation can promote aversive effects, whereas D1 activation promotes positive reinforcement (12, 13, 35). Therefore, the diminished D1 neuronal responses to natural rewards observed during withdrawal from cocaine may reflect an interference with appetitive behavior, whereas augmented D2 neuronal responses during morphine withdrawal may reflect a shift toward negative affect. Thus, our results point to partly separable neuronal coding principles across drug classes with direct relevance to addiction and withdrawal.

Rheb links drug exposure with natural reward processing

Repeated drug exposure drives molecular changes in NAc which are thought to underlie long-term plasticity. Numerous studies have implicated cell type–specific molecular processes within NAc that mediate the addictive actions of drugs of abuse (*36*). One example is Δ FOSB, whose D1 MSN-specific induction by

chronic cocaine and combined D1 and D2 MSN induction by chronic opioids mirrors the patterns of NAc neuronal activation observed here (37). Notably, Δ FOSB is also induced by high levels of consumption of natural rewards and this induction in turn increases such consumption (38). However, this mechanism is self-limited for natural rewards, which do not show the amplification of signals over time as do drugs of abuse. This raises the question of whether specific molecular substrate(s) within NAc drives the pathological effects of drug exposure while simultaneously interfering with innate responses to natural rewards. Our study identifies such a substrate-the mTOR activator, RHEB-as a crucial intermediate specifically linking the ability of drug exposure to attenuate food and water consumption. Sustained mTOR activation in NAc by repeated drug exposure might thus facilitate the development of neural plasticity during the pathogenesis of addiction. Previous studies have shown that reducing mTOR activity in NAc with rapamycin, a potent mTOR inhibitor, inhibits cue-induced reinstatement of cocaineseeking without affecting cue-induced sucrose seeking behavior, indicating a specific role for mTOR activity in drug action (39-41). However, these effects appear to be region-specific as reducing mTOR activity in ventral tegmental areas mediates morphine tolerance (42). Singlecell sequencing and spatial transcriptomics have revealed considerable cellular heterogeneity within NAc (43-47), but it remains unknown how mTOR signaling controls divergent actions of cocaine and morphine. One possibility, suggested by our imaging and snRNAseq results after Rheb knockout, is that cocaine versus opioid rewards preferentially sensitize firing rates of distinct cell types, and that the intracellular mTOR pathway is then essential for each cell type-specific drug action. This raises a future line of research to understand how a generic signal transduction pathway distinguishes between rewarding stimuli and adapts neural sensitivity accordingly (48-50). Further, the development of new tools that enable combined in vivo singleneuron calcium imaging with CRISPR perturbations will be crucial to resolving how RHEB-mTOR signaling processes both appetitive and aversive aspects of motivation at the level of neural dynamics (51). Answering these fundamental questions could potentially lead to development of cell type-specific mTOR modulators that would enhance addiction treatment options.

Drugs and natural rewards engage similar NAc inputs

The NAc is an integrative node in the limbic circuitry that is essential for reward processing and generating motivated behavior. These processes require integration of complex in-

teroceptive and exteroceptive signals, information relevant to learned associations among sensory stimuli, reward acquisition, and anticipatory and coordinated motoric output centers. It is therefore likely that specific input/ output networks connected with NAc influence the specific information being processed within the NAc. Although our results suggest a role for the NAc in sensing hunger and thirst, the NAc receives very few direct ascending inputs from canonical energy and fluid homeostasis nodes such as the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus or subfornical organ, respectively (32, 52). We used a whole-brain approach, which provided a comprehensive map of ascending nodes activated by both cocaine and morphine that project to NAc, including prefrontal cortex, LA, anterior thalamic nuclei, and LS. Prior literature implicated several of these regions in both natural and drug reward processing, from homeostatic intake to roles in coordinating conditioned food- or drug-seeking behavior (52-56). By activating several of these pathways, we identified a particularly important role for ORB inputs to NAc in coordinating consumption of natural rewards. Although we focus on ORB, it is likely that other NAc inputs have important roles in other behavioral domains (57-60). ORB is crucial for decision making and updating reward value based on internal states (61, 62). Thus, our findings suggest that value information communicated to NAc through ORB has a broad influence on consummatory behaviors that fulfill homeostatic need. These results support and extend numerous previous reports that ORB is crucial for evaluation and preference of drug versus nondrug rewards, potentially by computing reward identity (63-65). Because drugs of abuse can cause persistent changes to ORB function (66), it is plausible that this ORB-NAc circuit is crucial for drugs of abuse to modulate valuation and decision making in goal-directed behaviors (66, 67).

Together, these results point to the NAc as a gateway for integrating and amplifying the value of drug rewards and suggest that this action serves as a prime regulator of behavior to ultimately promote drug-seeking and drugtaking. However, it is important to note that our studies utilize nonvolitional drug exposure to link neural ensembles and molecular substrates to volitional food and water intake. Studies employing instrumental tasks that directly compare motivation for drugs of abuse with natural reward in rodents suggest that drugs may have stronger motivational properties than natural rewards (68-70) but may not be strongly preferred over natural reward when given the choice (69, 71-76). Unravelling these relationships at the neurophysiological and molecular levels will be crucial for insights into the mechanisms governing shifts in goal-directed behavior during the development of addiction (77, 78). Employing drug self-administration within the framework outlined in our study will be important to determine whether volitional drug intake induces similar changes at circuit, ensemble, and molecular levels (79). Further, determining the role of neural mechanisms outlined here in mediating interactions between drugs of abuse and other nonhomeostatic goals, particularly socially motivated stimuli and hedonic rewards (4, 80, 81), will be necessary to understand the full sequelae of addiction.

Materials and Methods Mouse strains

Male adult mice (8 to 24 weeks old) were used for experiments. We obtained Drd1-Cre mice (Drd1-Cre120Mxu/Mmjax, stock no. 37156), wild-type mice (C57BL/6J, stock no. 000664), and LSL-Cas9 mice (stock no. 026175) from the Jackson Laboratory. Drd2-Cre mice were obtained from E. Azevedo at The Rockefeller University as previously described (82). All mice were maintained in temperature- and humidity-controlled facilities on a 12-hours light-dark cycle (light on at 7:00 a.m.) and had ad libitum access to food and water except when noted otherwise. All feeding experiments used standard rodent chow pellets. For fasting or dehydration experiments, mice were overnight fasted or dehydrated (16 to 24 hours of food or water deprivation). All experimental protocols were approved by the IACUC at the Rockefeller University and at Mount Sinai, according to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Viral vectors

The following AAV viruses were purchased from the vector core at the University of North Carolina: AAV5-EF1a-DIO-ChR2-YFP, AAV5-EF1a-DIO-YFP, AAV5-EF1a-DIO-mCherry. The following AAV viruses were purchased from Addgene: AAV5-hsyn-hM4Di-mCherry or AAV5hsyn-DIO-hM4D(Gi)-mCherry, AAV5-hsyn-mCherry, rAAV2-retro-CAG-GFP, AAV5-hsyn-Cre, AAV5hsyn-eGFP-Cre, AAV1-hSyn-FLEX-GCaMP6s, AAVrg-hSyn-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry. The plasmid expressing guide RNAs targeting Rheb: pAAV-U6-sgRNA1[Rheb]-U6-sgRNA2[Rheb]hsvn-mCherry, and non-targeted control: pAAV-U6-sgRNA1[Scrambled]-U6-sgRNA2 [Scrambled]-hSyn-mCherry were designed and engineered at VectorBuilder. The plasmids were packaged into AAV5 at Janelia Viral Tools. sgRNA1[Rheb] sequence: ACCAAGTTGATCACG-GTAAA. sgRNA2[Rheb] sequence: GTTCTCTAT-GGTTGGATCGT. sgRNA1[Scrambled] sequence: GTGTAGTTCGACCATTCGTG, sgRNA2[Scrambled] sequence: GTTCAGGATCACGTTACCGC.

Stereotaxic surgery

Mice were induced with 3% inhaled isoflurane anesthetic in oxygen, placed in a stereotaxic apparatus (Kopf Instruments) and maintained at 1.5% isoflurane during surgery. The coordinates of injection sites were determined by the website tool: https://labs.gaidi.ca/mouse-brain-atlas. All AAV viruses were diluted in 1X PBS to a titer range of 3 to $8\times10^{12}\,\text{GC/ml}$ before use. Viruses were then bilaterally injected in the nucleus accumbens core (300 to 500 nl per side, 100 nl/min) using the following coordinates relative to the bregma: AP: +1.32; ML: ±1.1; DV: -4.25 to 4.5. For optogenetic experiments, optic fibers with 200-µm diameter core (Thorlabs CFML12U-20) were placed 0.3 to 0.5 mm above the virus injection site. For in vivo two-photon imaging surgeries, in order to obtain sufficient expression of GCaMP6s, 600 nl of virus were delivered (300 nl per coordinate) at AP: +1.22; ML: +1.2; DV: -4.25 and AP: +1.42; ML: +1.2; DV: -4.25 or at AP: +1.32; ML: +1; DV: -4.25 and AP: +1.32; ML: +1.3; DV: -4.25. A gradient-index (GRIN) lens with 1 mm diameter and 4.38 mm length (GRINTECH NEM-100-25-10-860-S) was implanted at the following coordinates: AP: +1.32; ML: +1.2; DV: -4.00, 0.2 mm above the injection site. Mice were used for behavioral experiments 2 to 3 weeks after surgeries. For in vivo two-photon imaging experiments, mice were used no earlier than 4 weeks after surgery to allow sufficient and stable GCaMP6s expression. For retrograde activating DREADD experiments, 500 nl of AAV5-hSyn-Cre or AAV5hSyn-eGFP-Cre virus were delivered bilaterally at each NAc-projecting area with following coordinates: LS (AP: 1.0, ML: ±0.4, DV: -3.5), ACA (AP: 1.0, ML: ±0.3, DV: -1.5), CLA/ECT (AP: 1.0, ML: ±2.8, DV: -3.68), mPFC (AP: 2.0, ML: ±0.4, DV: -2.5), ORB (AP: 2.6, ML: ±1.65, DV: -2.8), vSUB (AP: -4.1, ML: ±3.3, DV: -3.8), ATN (AP: -0.75, ML: ±0.9, DV: -3.15).

Histology

Mice were transcardially perfused with PBS followed by 10% formalin or 4% PFA. Brains were dissected and post-fixed in 10% formalin or 4% PFA at 4°C overnight. Brains were sectioned into 50-µm or 100-µm coronal slices using a vibratome (Leica). For immunohistochemistry, brain sections were blocked (0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, 3% bovine serum albumin, 2% donkey serum) and then incubated with primary antibody (rabbit anti-c-Fos, Cell Signaling, 1:500 for 100-µm sections; rabbit anti-Phospho-S6, Invitrogen, 1:1000 for 50-µm sections; chicken anti-GFP, Aves labs, 1:1000 for 50-µm sections; rat anti-mCherry, Invitrogen, 1:1000 for 50-µm sections) for 2 days at 4°C. Sections were then washed and incubated with secondary antibody (donkey anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 568, Invitrogen, 1:500 for 100-µm sections; donkey anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 647, Invitrogen, 1:1000 for 50-um sections; donkey anti-chicken IgG Alexa 488, Jackson ImmunoResearch, 1:1000 for 50-um sections; donkey anti-rat IgG Alexa 594, Invitrogen, 1:1000 for 50-um sections) for 1 hour at room temperature, washed again, mounted with DAPI Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech) and imaged with the same exposure time per batch using a SlideView microscope (VS200, Olympus). Images underwent minimal processing (such as adjusting brightness and contrast) performed using ImageJ. To quantify pS6 fluorescent intensity, a circular ROI of NAc core from each section was drawn in ImageJ, and fluorescent intensity was measured by the "Measure" function from the ImageJ menu "Analyze".

Whole-brain FOS mapping and GFP labeling

SHIELD-based whole-brain clearing and labeling was employed for mapping FOS and GFP in mice that had received repeated exposure to 20 mg/kg cocaine or 10 mg/kg morphine versus saline. One hour after the final injection, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and transcardially perfused with PBS containing 10 U/ml heparin, followed by 4% PFA. The dissected brains were fixed in 4% PFA for 24 hours at 4°C. Brains were then transferred to PBS containing 0.1% sodium azide until brain clearing and labeling. Brains were processed by LifeCanvas Technologies following the SHIELD protocol as previously published (22, 83). Samples were cleared for 7 days with Clear+ delipidation buffer, followed by batch labeling in SmartBatch+ with 8.6 µg Goat anti-GFP antibody (Encor GPCA-GFP), 17 µg anti-Mouse NeuN antibody (Encor MCA-1B7), and 6 µg anti-Rabbit FOS (Abcam ab214672) per brain. Fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies were applied in 1:2 primary/secondary molar ratios (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Labeled samples were incubated in EasyIndex (LifeCanvas Technologies) for refractive index matching (n = 1.52) and imaged with SmartSPIM (LifeCanvas Technologies) at 4 µm z-step and 1.8 µm xy pixel size. Image analysis was conducted following the procedures as previously published (22, 83). Because of technical limitations raised by resolution limitations and distinct subcellular expression patterns of FOS (nuclear) and GFP (cytosolic) signals in three-dimensional space, the direct colocalization between FOS+ and GFP+ cells were not analyzed.

Atlas registration. Samples were registered to the Allen Brain Atlas (Allen Institute: https:// portal.brain-map.org/) using an automated process (alignment performed by LifeCanvas Technologies, referred to as LCT). A NeuN channel for each brain was registered to an average NeuN atlas (generated by LCT using previously registered samples). Registration was performed using successive rigid, affine, and b-spline warping algorithms (SimpleElastix: https://simpleelastix. github.io/).

Cell detection. Automated cell detection was performed by LCT using a custom convolutional neural network created with the Tensorflow python package (Google). The cell detection was performed by two networks in sequence. First, a fully convolutional detection network (84) based on a U-Net architecture (85) was used to find possible positive locations. Second, a convolutional network using a ResNet architecture (86) was used to classify each location as positive or negative. Using the previously calculated Atlas Registration, each cell location was projected onto the Allen Brain Atlas in order to count the number of cells for each atlas defined region.

For studies of acute exposure to and during spontaneous withdrawal from cocaine and morphine, brain were postfixed after perfusion as stated above, and serial 100um sections were collected between olfactory bulb and brainstem. FOS staining was conducted following standard immunohistochemistry procedures, and analysis was conducted using the open-source SMART pipeline as previously published (*87*).

In silico FOS-Seq

FOS counts were z-scored within each batch of samples across conditions. Multiple batches of z-scored FOS activity were pooled for downstream analysis. After batch correction, images were co-registered with the Allen Brain Atlas reference mouse brain to demarcate region specificity of FOS signal. Next, normalization of FOS signal was achieved by subtracting average FOS levels in the saline condition from FOS levels in the cocaine and morphine conditions across brain regions. Region-specific gene expression data were then obtained from the Allen Brain Atlas in situ hybridization database, and brain areas identified to match between FOS imaging and in situ hybridization database were used for generating vectors for FOS and all identified genes. Each FOS vector and gene X ISH vector were first sigmoid transformed, followed by computations of Pearson Correlation Coefficients (PCCs) across brain regions for each condition. Our intention using the sigmoid transformation before conducting Pearson correlation was to avoid the bias resulting from outlier values that could lead to an inflation of the coefficients. These PCCs with versus without sigmoid transformation were highly correlated in a linear manner. We recommend validating the distributions of FOS and gene X ISH vectors, as well as the "housekeeping" reference genes, in a specific biological context when applying sigmoid transformation. A histogram depicting the distribution of total PCCs was plotted. Next, a Gaussian distribution was fitted to this histogram (fig. S5A) ($\mu = 0.0, \sigma = 0.15$). A threshold of \pm 0.15, which corresponded to 1 σ . was applied to identify genes that exhibited significant correlations. Genes correlated with PCC value > 0.15 or < -0.15 and p < 0.05 were classified as positively correlated or negatively correlated, and the rest were classified as not correlated. The method was adapted from a previously published approach (22). P-values are FDR-corrected at 5% threshold, referred to as adjusted $P\mbox{-}values.$

snRNAseq sample preparation

Animals were stereotaxically injected with AAV-Rheb-sgRNAs or AAV-scrambled-sgRNAs bilaterally in NAc core. Notably, CRISPR-mediated DNA double-strand breaks could potentially induce general cellular effects. Thus, for future studies, it is recommended to use alternative control sgRNAs targeting a "neutral gene", such as Rosa26. To avoid confounds from Rheb and Control sgRNAs (scrambled, non-targeting), each mouse was only transduced with one type of sgRNAs that was either Rheb-targeted or non-targeted without pooling AAVs Three D1-Cas9 mice and three D2-Cas9 mice were transduced with Rheb-sgRNAs, and similarly three D1-Cas9 and three D2-Cas9 mice were transduced with Scrambled-sgRNAs. Three weeks after viral injections, mice were anesthetized under 5% isoflurane. NAc core was microdissected under a stereomicroscope in pre-chilled dissection buffer and immediately transferred to dry ice prior to downstream nuclei extraction. After dissection, frozen tissues on dry ice or stored in a -80°C freezer were immediately transferred to Teflon homogenizers containing 1 ml pre-chilled NP40 lysis buffer (Fisher Cat# FNN0021) and homogenized 15 to 30 times using a pellet pestle on ice. Homogenized samples were incubated for another 10 to 15 min on ice, followed by passing through a 70 µm Flowmi Cell Strainer and a 40 µm Flowmi Cell Strainer (Millipore Sigma). The collected flowthrough was centrifuged at 500 to 1000 rcf for 5 min at 4°C and pellets were resuspended in staining buffer. 30% iodixanol buffer was then carefully loaded at the bottom of resuspended nuclei at 4°C. Samples were centrifuged at 10000 rcf for 20 min at 4°C. Supernatant containing debris was carefully removed. Pellets were resuspended in staining buffer containing anti-NeuN Alexa 647 antibody (abcam, Cat# ab190565) in order to enrich neurons. After antibody incubation and rotating for 30 min at 4°C, samples were washed with staining buffer without antibodies for 3 times. Samples were resuspended in FACS buffer after last-round wash and sent for FACS sorting. Hoechst 33342 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat# H3570) were added at a final concentration of 0.2 mM to label nuclei. Sorted nuclei were sent for downstream 10X genomics 5' RNA-seq with CRISPR library preparation and sequenced using NovaSeq sequencer with ~30000 reads/ nuclei on average. Dissection buffer contains 1X HBSS, 2.5 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.4], 35 mM glucose, 4 mM NaHCO₃, and actinomycin D (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# A1410) at a final concentration of 20 µg/ml. NP40 lysis buffer contains 10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% NP40 dissolved in nuclease-free water. For 1 ml NP40 lysis buffer, 1 µl DTT, 25 µl

20 U/µl SupeRasine (Thermo Cat# AM2696), 12.5 µl 40 U/ µl RNasin (Promega Cat# N2615), 10 µl protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (100X; Thermo Cat# 78442), 40 µl 1 mg/ml actinomycin D were added right before use. 30% iodixanol buffer contains 0.25 M sucrose, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM Tricine-HCl [pH 8.0] and 30% Iodixanol dissolved in nuclease-free water. DTT, Superasine, Rnasin and protease inhibitors were added at the same concentration as NP40 lysis buffer right before use. Staining buffer contains 2% BSA, 0.05% NP40 dissolved in nuclease-free 1X PBS. Superasine, Rnasin and protease inhibitors were added at the same concentration as NP40 lysis buffer right before use. FACS buffer contains 2% BSA dissolved in nuclease-free 1X PBS buffer. Superasine, Rnasin and protease inhibitors were added at the same concentration as NP40 lysis buffer immediately prior to use.

snRNAseq analysis

Fastq files were aligned to mouse genome (mm10) and CRISPR sgRNA sequences, and expression levels in each cell were estimated with Cellranger (v 6.0.0). The gene expression count matrix for each sample was processed with the following steps: (1) Estimate doublet with Scrublet (https://github.com/swolock/ scrublet) (88); (2) Estimate and correct the ambient RNA contaminations with SoupX (https://github.com/constantAmateur/SoupX) (89); (3) Load the corrected counting matrix into Seurat object with log normalization; (4) Calculate the proportion of UMIs from mitochondrial genes; and (5) The cells assigned as doublets or mitochondrial content >1% were removed. The Seurat objects were integrated by following the RPCA workflow (https://satijalab. org/seurat/articles/integration_rpca.html) (90). The number of PCs used for UMAP calculation was selected with elbow plot (91). Then, the clustering was calculated with Leiden algorithm (92). Mixscape analysis was applied following the workflow (https://satijalab.org/ seurat/articles/mixscape_vignette) (26). After Mixscape classification of perturbed versus escaped cells, only perturbed cells and control cells were used for calculating differentially expressed genes. The differential gene expression of each comparison was performed with Seurat::FindMarkers() with logfc.threshold greater than 0.13, and raw p values from the returned set of genes were corrected using p. adjust() with the 'BH' method. Significant differential expression genes were defined as log2 (Fold Change) greater than 0.26 or less than -0.26, and corrected p values less than 0.05. GO analyses were conducted using the gseapy.enrichr() function in Python. Databases included GO_Biological_Process_2023, GO_ Cellular Component 2023, GO Molecular Function 2023. Enriched pathways with adjusted p values less than 0.05 were plotted.

Chemogenetic modulations

5 mg/kg CNO was i.p. injected 20 min prior to injections of other solutions. For experiments aimed at activating retrograde NAc-projecting neurons, 2 mg/kg CNO was i.p. injected 30 min prior to providing access to food or water. Food consumption was measured in mice that had prior ad libitum access to food, 1 hour after the onset of the dark cycle, resembling a state of physiological hunger. Water consumption was measured 5 min after providing overnight water-deprived mice with free access to water. We reported the data as percent change, because animals from each of these 9 groups were tested in cohorts (i.e., at varying times) as a result of logistical limitations. The percent change was calculated by normalizing the food intake or water intake of experimental groups to that of the control group within the same cohort test.

Optogenetic modulations

For photostimulating ChR2, a 473-nm laser (OEM Lasers/OptoEngine) was used to generate laser pulses (5 to 7 mW at the output tip of the fiber, 5 ms, 20 Hz) throughout the behavioral session, except when noted otherwise, controlled by a waveform generator (Keysight).

Feeding and drinking behaviors

For optogenetic stimulation, mice were acclimated to a new clean cage for 5 min before experiments started. Mice were then provided free access to either food or water. For ChR2 stimulation in fasted or water-dehydrated mice, food or water intake was measured after 20 min of photostimulation, followed by 20 min with laser off.

Open-field assay

Mice were introduced into a 28×28 cm openfield arena. Locomotor activity and fraction of movement over the 20-min session were automatically tracked and quantified by Ethovision 9 (Noldus).

Real-time place preference assay

Real-time place preference was conducted in a two-chamber acrylic box ($50 \times 25 \times 25$ cm). The preference between the two chambers was determined by the time spent on either side over a 20-min session. The amount of time spent in each chamber was automatically tracked and calculated using the Ethovision 9 software (Noldus). Photostimulation of ChR2 (5 to 7 mW per fiber tip; 20 Hz; 10 ms pulses; 5 s on, 5 s off) was delivered by a mini-IO box (Noldus) when mice entered the designated light-paired side. Mice performed three test sessions with photostimulation.

In vivo two-photon imaging

Two-photon (2P) calcium imaging was performed on a Scientifica SliceScope galvo-scanning

2P microscope with a Nikon 16×/0.8 waterdipping objective and a Coherent Chameleon Ultra II Ti:Sapphire laser source. The objective was focused onto the rear image plane of the implanted GRIN lens, so that the excitation laser beam was relayed into the sample by the GRIN lens, and conversely fluorescence was relayed out of the sample and recorded using photon-multiplier tubes in the SliceScope's nondescanned detection head. Microscope hardware and data acquisition was controlled using the ScanImage software (versions 5.5 and 5.6, Vidrio Technologies), which is based on Matlab (The Mathworks). The field-of-view of the microscope was sufficient to record the diameter of the GRIN lens image, 500 um, at a frame rate of 4.82 Hz. Liquid food (Ensure) and water were provided via a spout connected to a touch detector (lickometer) during the imaging. Mice were adapted to liquid food as the only nutrition source for at least 3 days before the refeeding experiments. On experiment day, fasted or dehydrated mice went through a threeminute baseline recording, followed by 3 µL water or liquid food dispensed by the lickometer spout at the beginning of the consumption trial. After the trial started, the lickometer spout dispensed 3 µL water or liquid food upon each lick from mice.

Behavioral assays with drug administration

For behavioral experiments, a dosage of 20 mg/kg cocaine, or 10 mg/kg morphine, dissolved in saline was administered via daily single-dose i.p. injections. These doses were chosen based on roughly equivalent rewarding properties in conditioned place preference studies (*8*). Mice were single-housed at a minimum of 3 days before the initiation of drug administration and maintained single-housed throughout the tests. Daily food and water consumption were quantified by weighing the food pellets or water bottles using a high precision scale (Bonvoisin Lab Scale) on each day and subtracting these measurements from the previous day's (24 hours in between) measurements.

For refeeding and rehydration assays, singlehoused mice were overnight fasted or water deprived for a period of 16 to 18 hours. On the second day before the tests, mice remained in their home cages while new food pellets or water bottles were provided 20 min after i.p. injections of cocaine or morphine. Food or water consumption was assessed by weighing the food pellets or water bottles before and after consumption over a cumulative period of 30 min, 2 hours, and 4 hours. Behavioral tests during the spontaneous withdrawal were conducted within 2 days after 24 hours post last drug exposure.

During the 2-day spontaneous withdrawal period, refeeding and rehydration assays were each conducted once on each day and randomized for different cohorts of mice. During the spontaneous withdrawal, each group of mice received daily i.p. injections of saline.

The sucrose preference test was conducted once during the spontaneous withdrawal period. These three groups of naïve animals underwent habituation with the sucrose preference test before drug exposure, followed by another sucrose preference test after 5-day repeated drug exposure or saline treatment. These mice were water deprived overnight before the test. During the test, mice received two bottles, one containing 2% sucrose solution, the other containing water. The sucrose preference test comprised two rounds, each round allowed each animal 5 min of free access to both solutions. To mitigate bias toward a particular side, the water and sucrose bottles were swapped between sides during the second round.

For in vivo two-photon calcium imaging experiments, a dosage of 10 mg/kg cocaine or 5 mg/kg morphine dissolved in saline was administered by single-dose i.p. injections. Notably, doses in the calcium imaging experiments were lowered to minimize excessive treadmill running which produces movementrelated artefacts, while maintaining high enough doses that are known to still be rewarding (8, 93). On experiment day, mice were head-fixed and placed on the treadmill for 5 min habituation. Mice went through a one-minute baseline recording, followed by one i.p. injection of saline, cocaine or morphine. The neural responses of each mouse were then recorded for 1 min every 10 min within one hour. All cohorts of mice received one daily i.p. injection of cocaine or morphine continuing for 5 days. Mice were able to freely walk on the treadmill, and velocity was recorded at the same time. Mice had ad libitum access to food and water before and after the imaging, but were restricted throughout experimental procedures.

Data analysis

FOS-mapping data were analyzed by z-score transformation of FOS counts from each batch of the data. To generate the clustered heatmap, we then conducted one-way ANOVA on the z-scored FOS levels for each brain region across the three groups and identified brain regions with statistically significant (p < 0.05) changes for downstream K-means clustering analysis. Notably, we did not normalize the FOS counts into the density, because each brain region volume was the same for each sample as the FOS counts were projected onto the Allen brain atlas, and our statistical tests were conducted within each brain region, not across different brain regions. To compare the similarity of FOS levels across phases of drug exposure, we calculated the Euclidean distance between two vectors for each brain region, which consists of z-scored FOS expression values corresponding to either cocaine conditions (acute, withdrawal, chronic) or morphine conditions (acute,

withdrawal, chronic). Both of these vectors were normalized against z-scored FOS expression values from the saline condition (acute, withdrawal, chronic) by subtraction.

Behavior data were analyzed using the Ethovision X9 software (Noldus). For twophoton imaging experiments, behavioral and imaging data were analyzed using the Suite2p pipeline (94) and custom Python scripts. Multiple trials within the same session were first concatenated, then processed by the Suite2p pipeline with non-rigid motion correction, to extract all neurons recorded across trials. According to the Suite2p pipeline, this concatenation does not normalize or alter the raw fluorescence of individual trials. Each session data from different days were processed independently. Neurons extracted by the pipeline were subsequently curated manually in the Suite2p graphical user interface. When aiming to compare responses of the same neurons across multiple sessions or days, spatial footprints of neurons from each session extracted by Suite2p were mapped using CellReg (95). Consistent with prior reports (96), 20 to 50% of the same neurons were able to be tracked across multiple daily sessions.

To preprocess the data from food and water gel sensory responses, total fluorescence of each individual neuron was normalized using the formula $z = (F_{raw} - \mu)/\sigma$, where F_{raw} is the raw fluorescence as extracted by the Suite2p pipeline, μ is the mean of F_{raw} during the baseline period (30 s prior to food and water gel presentation), and σ is the standard deviation of F_{raw} during the baseline period.

The data from liquid food and water consumption experiments were preprocessed as follows in order to reduce variabilities for multiple-day comparisons: The raw fluorescence was z-scored according to the formula $z = (F - \mu)/\sigma$, where F is calculated from the raw fluorescence by applying a second order Butterworth filter with normalized cut-on frequency 0.266; μ is the mean baseline fluorescence (taken over the traces with activity below the median during the baseline period), σ is the standard deviation of fluorescence during the baseline period (taken over the traces with activity below the median during the baseline period). For this analysis, neurons with averaged responses larger than 3σ from 10 s after consumption start were considered to be activated.

Clustered neural traces during consumption were identified by k-means clustering. The neuronal states based on the k-means clustering label were projected to two-dimensional space using the nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) for visualization.

To preprocess the data from the drugs of abuse experiments, the raw fluorescence traces were filtered as described above. Within each session, each 1-min imaging trace was normalized

as $F_{corr} = (F - \mu)/\mu$, where F is the raw fluorescence and μ is the average of the lowest quintile of the raw fluorescence. All corrected traces were concatenated and used for downstream analyses. Peaks of neuronal responses were identified using the SciPy package (find_ peak() function) with the peak height threshold set to 3σ) and minimum distance between peaks set to ~1.3 s (based on the GCaMP6s decay time). Strength of neuronal preference was defined as: (Peakcocaine - Peakfood/water)/ (Peak_{cocaine} + Peak_{food/water}). To quantify cocaine-activated neurons (peak greater than 3σ), the timespan from 20 to 40 min post i.p. injection was used, while 30 to 50 min post i.p. injection was used to quantify morphineactivated neurons. The timespan was chosen based on observed behavioral effects (fig. S1), neuronal dynamics (fig. S4), and established pharmacokinetics from literature for cocaine/ morphine post i.p. injections (97, 98).

To differentiate the motor-associated from the non-motor-associated neural correlates, we first converted the animals' walking velocity into binary movement vectors (moving versus not moving) as recorded from the treadmill and then computed the cross-correlation (evaluated for a range of 1-10 frames lag, corresponding to ~ 2 s) between neuronal traces and binary movement vectors (as computed by thresholding the raw velocity measurement vector). The lag with the highest cross-correlation was chosen for downstream analyses. We next computed the Pearson correlation coefficients (PCC) between the lag-corrected neuronal traces and the binary movement vector. We selected highly motion-correlated neuronal traces as those with the modulus of their PCC with the movement vector above a threshold in the range 0.2 to 0.4. We adjusted the threshold based on the principle that the averaged frames of neural activities (above median) during movement periods are no less than those during the non-movement period, Wilcoxon test was conducted to compare the significant difference level between the movement versus non-movement frames. The neurons showing PCCs larger than the threshold were considered to be motor-associated neurons, the rest were nonmotor-associated neurons. Each neuronal pair with activity correlation coefficient greater than 0.3 was considered to be "synchronized". A connectivity index was then calculated as the total number of pairs of synchronized neurons divided by the pairs of synchronized neurons during the baseline period (before i.p. injection).

Tensor component analysis (TCA) was conducted as described previously (21). The tensor matrix comprised of trial, neuron and time series of neuronal activity was loaded into the TCA algorithm. The factor matrices were constrained to be nonnegative. Each neural state was averaged from multiple sessions recorded from each cohort of mice. Neurons positively contributing to state 1 relative to state 2 were determined by positive values after the subtraction: state 1 loadings – state 2 loadings.

Statistics and reproducibility

Statistical analyses were conducted using Graphpad Prism 10.1. Throughout the paper, values are reported as mean ± SEM. (error bar or shaded area). P-values for pair-wise comparisons were obtained using the twotailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test or two-tailed paired Student's t test. P-values for comparisons across independent groups or multiple groups were conducted using two-tailed independent Student's t test, or ANOVA (with repeated measures when possible) and corrected for multiple comparisons. The statistical models used for imaging data analysis as described above were carried out using the scikit-learn Python package (99). No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized. The investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment. Representative images were selected from 3 to 5 original biological replicates.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- S. E. Hyman, R. C. Malenka, E. J. Nestler, Neural mechanisms of addiction: The role of reward-related learning and memory. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 29, 565–598 (2006). doi: 10.1146/ annurev.neuro.29.051605.113009; pmid: 16776597
- G. F. Koob, M. Le Moal, Drug addiction, dysregulation of reward, and allostasis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 24, 97–129 (2001). doi: 10.1016/S0893-133X(00)00195-0; pmid: 11120394
- P. W. Kalivas, N. D. Volkow, The neural basis of addiction: A pathology of motivation and choice. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 162, 1403–1413 (2005). doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.162.8.1403; pmid: 16055761
- S. H. Ahmed, G. F. Koob, Transition from moderate to excessive drug intake: Change in hedonic set point. *Science* 282, 298–300 (1998). doi: 10.1126/science.282.5387.298; pmid: 9765157
- G. F. Koob, N. D. Volkow, Neurobiology of addiction: A neurocircuitry analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 3, 760–773 (2016). doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(16)00104-8; pmid: 27475769
- R. J. DiLeone, J. R. Taylor, M. R. Picciotto, The drive to eat: Comparisons and distinctions between mechanisms of food reward and drug addiction. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 1330–1335 (2012). doi: 10.1038/nn.3202; pmid: 23007187
- N. Mahboub, R. Rizk, M. Karavetian, N. de Vries, Nutritional status and eating habits of people who use drugs and/or are undergoing treatment for recovery: A narrative review. *Nutr. Rev.* 79, 627–635 (2021). doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuaa095; pmid: 32974658
- Y. Zhang, J. R. Mantsch, S. D. Schlussman, A. Ho, M. J. Kreek, Conditioned place preference after single doses or "binge" cocaine in C57BL/6J and 129/J mice. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 73, 655–662 (2002). doi: 10.1016/S0091-3057(02)00859-6; pmid: 12151041
- B. Tan et al., Dynamic processing of hunger and thirst by common mesolimbic neural ensembles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 119, e2211688119 (2022). doi: 10.1073/ pnas.2211688119; pmid: 36252036
- A. Mohebi et al., Dissociable dopamine dynamics for learning and motivation. *Nature* 570, 65–70 (2019). doi: 10.1038/ s41586-019-1235-y; pmid: 31118513
- K. McFarland, P. W. Kalivas, The circuitry mediating cocaineinduced reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior. *J. Neurosci.* 21, 8655–8663 (2001). doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-21-08655.2001; pmid: 11606653
- E. S. Calipari *et al.*, In vivo imaging identifies temporal signature of D1 and D2 medium spiny neurons in cocaine reward. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 2726–2731 (2016). doi: 10.1073/pnas.1521238113; pmid: 26831103

- M. K. Lobo et al., Cell type-specific loss of BDNF signaling mimics optogenetic control of cocaine reward. Science 330, 385–390 (2010). doi:10.1126/science.1188472: pmid: 20947769
- G. Cui et al., Concurrent activation of striatal direct and indirect pathways during action initiation. *Nature* 494, 238–242 (2013). doi: 10.1038/nature11846; pmid: 23354054
- D. W. Self, W. J. Barnhart, D. A. Lehman, E. J. Nestler, Opposite modulation of cocaine-seeking behavior by D1- and D2-like dopamine receptor agonists. *Science* 271, 1586–1589 (1996). doi: 10.1126/science.271.5255.1586; pmid: 8599115
- X. Zhu, D. Ottenheimer, R. J. DiLeone, Activity of D1/2 Receptor Expressing Neurons in the Nucleus Accumbens Regulates Running, Locomotion, and Food Intake. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* **10**, 66 (2016). doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2016.00066; pmid: 27147989
- M. B. Kelz *et al.*, Expression of the transcription factor deltaFosB in the brain controls sensitivity to cocaine. *Nature* 401, 272–276 (1999). doi: 10.1038/45790; pmid: 10499584
- E. J. Nestler, M. Barrot, D. W. Self, DeltaFosB: A sustained molecular switch for addiction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 11042–11046 (2001). doi: 10.1073/pnas.191352698; pmid: 11572966
- E. J. Nestler, Molecular basis of long-term plasticity underlying addiction. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2, 119–128 (2001). doi: 10.1038/35053570; pmid: 11252991
- E. J. Nestler, Is there a common molecular pathway for addiction? *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 1445–1449 (2005). doi: 10.1038/ nn1578; pmid: 16251986
- A. H. Williams et al., Unsupervised Discovery of Demixed, Low-Dimensional Neural Dynamics across Multiple Timescales through Tensor Component Analysis. *Neuron* 98, 1099–1115.e8 (2018). doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.05.015; pmid: 29887338
- P. A. Davoudian, L. X. Shao, A. C. Kwan, Shared and Distinct Brain Regions Targeted for Immediate Early Gene Expression by Ketamine and Psilocybin. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 14, 468–480 (2023). doi: 10.1021/acschemneuro.2c00637; pmid: 36630309
- E. S. Lein *et al.*, Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. *Nature* 445, 168–176 (2007). doi: 10.1038/ nature05453; pmid: 17151600
- X. Long, S. Ortiz-Vega, Y. Lin, J. Avruch, Rheb binding to mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is regulated by amino acid sufficiency. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 23433–23436 (2005). doi: 10.1074/jbc.C500169200; pmid: 15878852
- Z. A. Knight *et al.*, Molecular profiling of activated neurons by phosphorylated ribosome capture. *Cell* **151**, 1126–1137 (2012). doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.039; pmid: 23178128
- E. Papalexi et al., Characterizing the molecular regulation of inhibitory immune checkpoints with multimodal single-cell screens. Nat. Genet. 53, 322–331 (2021). doi: 10.1038/ s41588-021-00778-2; pmid: 33649593
- X. Jin et al., In vivo Perturb-Seq reveals neuronal and glial abnormalities associated with autism risk genes. *Science* 370, eaaz6063 (2020). doi: 10.1126/science.aaz6063; pmid: 33243861
- R. W. Nall, J. A. Heinsbroek, T. B. Nentwig, P. W. Kalivas, A. C. Bobadilla, Circuit selectivity in drug versus natural reward seeking behaviors. *J. Neurochem.* **157**, 1450–1472 (2021). doi: 10.1111/jnc.15297; pmid: 33420731
- P. C. Keyes et al., Orchestrating Opiate-Associated Memories in Thalamic Circuits. Neuron 110, 3406 (2022). doi: 10.1016/ j.neuron.2022.09.031; pmid: 36265443
- Y. Zhu *et al.*, Dynamic salience processing in paraventricular thalamus gates associative learning. *Science* **362**, 423–429 (2018). doi: 10.1126/science.aat0481; pmid: 30361366
- V. Augustine et al., Hierarchical neural architecture underlying thirst regulation. *Nature* 555, 204–209 (2018). doi: 10.1038/nature25488; pmid: 29489747
- D. Wang et al., Whole-brain mapping of the direct inputs and axonal projections of POMC and AgRP neurons. Front. Neuroanat. 9, 40 (2015). doi: 10.3389/fnana.2015.00040; pmid: 25870542
- J. N. Betley et al., Neurons for hunger and thirst transmit a negative-valence teaching signal. Nature 521, 180–185 (2015). doi: 10.1038/nature14416; pmid: 25915020
- N. M. Graziane et al., Opposing mechanisms mediate morphine- and cocaine-induced generation of silent synapses. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 915–925 (2016). doi: 10.1038/ nn.4313; pmid: 27239940
- A. V. Kravitz, L. D. Tye, A. C. Kreitzer, Distinct roles for direct and indirect pathway striatal neurons in reinforcement. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 816–818 (2012). doi: 10.1038/nn.3100; pmid: 22544310

- A. J. Robison, E. J. Nestler, Transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms of addiction. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 12, 623–637 (2011). doi: 10.1038/nrn3111; pmid: 21989194
- A. J. Robison, E. J. Nestler, ∆FOSB: A Potentially Druggable Master Orchestrator of Activity-Dependent Gene Expression. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 13, 296–307 (2022). doi: 10.1021/ acschemneuro.1c00723; pmid: 35020364
- D. L. Wallace *et al.*, The influence of DeltaFosB in the nucleus accumbens on natural reward-related behavior. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 10272–10277 (2008). doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1531-08.2008; pmid: 18842886
- X. Wang *et al.*, Nucleus accumbens core mammalian target of rapamycin signaling pathway is critical for cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking in rats. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 12632–12641 (2010). doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1264-10.2010; pmid: 20861369
- F. Zhang et al., Disrupting Reconsolidation by Systemic Inhibition of mTOR Kinase via Rapamycin Reduces Cocaine-Seeking Behavior. Front. Pharmacol. 12, 652865 (2021). doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.652865; pmid: 33897438
- J. Shi et al., Effect of rapamycin on cue-induced drug craving in abstinent heroin addicts. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 615, 108–112 (2009). doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2009.05.011; pmid: 19470385
- M. S. Mazei-Robison *et al.*, Role for mTOR signaling and neuronal activity in morphine-induced adaptations in ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons. *Neuron* **72**, 977–990 (2011). doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.10.012; pmid: 22196333
- Z. D. Zhao et al., A molecularly defined D1 medium spiny neuron subtype negatively regulates cocaine addiction. *Sci. Adv.* 8, eabn3552 (2022). doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abn3552; pmid: 35960793
- R. Chen *et al.*, Decoding molecular and cellular heterogeneity of mouse nucleus accumbens. *Nat. Neurosci.* 24, 1757–1771 (2021). doi: 10.1038/s41593-021-00938-x; pmid: 34663959
- R. A. Phillips III *et al.*, Distinct subpopulations of D1 medium spiny neurons exhibit unique transcriptional responsiveness to cocaine. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **125**, 103849 (2023). doi: 10.1016/j.mcn.2023.103849; pmid: 36965548
- J. He *et al.*, Transcriptional and anatomical diversity of medium spiny neurons in the primate striatum. *Curr. Biol.* 31, 5473–5486.e6 (2021). doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2021.10.015; pmid: 34727523
- M. N. Tran *et al.*, Single-nucleus transcriptome analysis reveals cell type-specific molecular signatures across reward circuitry in the human brain. *Neuron* **109**, 3088–3103.e5 (2021). doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2021.09.001; pmid: 34582785
- N. Li et al., mTOR-dependent synapse formation underlies the rapid antidepressant effects of NMDA antagonists. *Science* 329, 959–964 (2010). doi: 10.1126/science.1190287; pmid: 20724638
- P. Kosillo et al., Dopamine neuron morphology and output are differentially controlled by mTORC1 and mTORC2. eLife 11, e75398 (2022). doi: 10.7554/eLife.75398; pmid: 35881440
- P. Kosillo et al., Tsc1-mTORC1 signaling controls striatal dopamine release and cognitive flexibility. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 5426 (2019). doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-13396-8; pmid: 31780742
- G. Mountoufaris, A. Nair, B. Yang, D.-W. Kim, D. J. Anderson, Neuropeptide Signaling is Required to Implement a Line Attractor Encoding a Persistent Internal Behavioral State. bioRxiv, 2023.2011.2001.565073 (2023).
- V. Augustine *et al.*, Temporally and Spatially Distinct Thirst Satiation Signals. *Neuron* **103**, 242–249.e4 (2019). doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.04.039; pmid: 31153646
- A. K. Eiselt *et al.*, Hunger or thirst state uncertainty is resolved by outcome evaluation in medial prefrontal cortex to guide decision-making. *Nat. Neurosci.* 24, 907–912 (2021). doi: 10.1038/s41593-021-00850-4; pmid: 33972802
- C. R. Burgess et al., Hunger-Dependent Enhancement of Food Cue Responses in Mouse Postrhinal Cortex and Lateral Amygdala. Neuron 91, 1154–1169 (2016). doi: 10.1016/ i.neuron.2016.07.032; pmid: 27523426
- C. R. Grove *et al.*, Dopamine subsystems that track internal states. *Nature* **608**, 374–380 (2022). doi: 10.1038/ s41586-022-04954-0; pmid: 35831501
- E. P. Azevedo et al., A limbic circuit selectively links active escape to food suppression. *eLife* 9, e58894 (2020). doi: 10.7554/eLife.58894; pmid: 32894221
- J. M. Bossert *et al.*, Ventral medial prefrontal cortex neuronal ensembles mediate context-induced relapse to heroin. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 420–422 (2011). doi: 10.1038/nn.2758; pmid: 21336273

- E. Koya et al., Role of ventral medial prefrontal cortex in incubation of cocaine craving. *Neuropharmacology* 56 (Suppl 1), 177–185 (2009). doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm. 2008.04.022; pmid: 18565549
- L. Lu, J. Dempsey, Y. Shaham, B. T. Hope, Differential longterm neuroadaptations of glutamate receptors in the basolateral and central amygdala after withdrawal from cocaine self-administration in rats. J. Neurochem. 94, 161–168 (2005). doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03178.x; pmid: 15953359
- R. Madangopal *et al.*, Inactivation of the infralimbic cortex decreases discriminative stimulus-controlled relapse to cocaine seeking in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **46**, 1969–1980 (2021). doi: 10.1038/s41386-021-01067-6; pmid: 34162997
- J. H. Jennings et al., Interacting neural ensembles in orbitofrontal cortex for social and feeding behaviour. Nature 565, 645–649 (2019). doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0866-8; pmid: 30651638
- F. Gore *et al.*, Orbitofrontal cortex control of striatum leads economic decision-making. *Nat. Neurosci.* 26, 1566–1574 (2023). doi: 10.1038/s41593-023-01409-1; pmid: 37592039
- M. Lenoir et al., Large-scale brain correlates of sweet versus cocaine reward in rats. Eur. J. Neurosci. 57, 423–439 (2023). doi: 10.1111/ejn.15879; pmid: 36453530
- K. Guillem, S. H. Ahmed, Reorganization of theta phaselocking in the orbitofrontal cortex drives cocaine choice under the influence. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 8041 (2020). doi: 10.1038/ s41598-020-64962-w; pmid: 32415278
- K. Guillem, S. H. Ahmed, Preference for Cocaine is Represented in the Orbitofrontal Cortex by an Increased Proportion of Cocaine Use-Coding Neurons. *Cereb. Cortex* 28, 819–832 (2018). doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhw398; pmid: 28057724
- G. Schoenbaum, Y. Shaham, The role of orbitofrontal cortex in drug addiction: A review of preclinical studies. *Biol. Psychiatry* 63, 256–262 (2008). doi: 10.1016/ ibiopsych.2007.06.003; pmid: 17719014
- S. Fanous *et al.*, Role of orbitofrontal cortex neuronal ensembles in the expression of incubation of heroin craving. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 11600–11609 (2012). doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.1914-12.2012; pmid: 22915104
- J. A. Heinsbroek et al., A common limiter circuit for opioid choice and relapse identified in a rodent addiction model. Nat. Commun. 12, 4788 (2021). doi: 10.1038/ s41467-021-25080-x; pmid: 34373454
- C. J. Christensen, A. Silberberg, S. R. Hursh, P. G. Roma, A. L. Riley, Demand for cocaine and food over time. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **91**, 209–216 (2008). doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2008.07.009; pmid: 18692088
- A. C. Bobadilla *et al.*, Cocaine and sucrose rewards recruit different seeking ensembles in the nucleus accumbens core. *Mol. Psychiatry* 25, 3150–3163 (2020). doi: 10.1038/ s41380-020-00888-z; pmid: 32985600
- Y. Vandaele, L. Cantin, F. Serre, C. Vouillac-Mendoza, S. H. Ahmed, Choosing Under the Influence: A Drug-Specific Mechanism by Which the Setting Controls Drug Choices in Rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 646–657 (2016). doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.195; pmid: 26129679
- M. Lenoir, L. Cantin, N. Vanhille, F. Serre, S. H. Ahmed, Extended heroin access increases heroin choices over a potent nondrug alternative. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 38, 1209–1220 (2013). doi: 10.1038/npp.2013.17; pmid: 23322185
- L. Cantin *et al.*, Cocaine is low on the value ladder of rats: Possible evidence for resilience to addiction. *PLOS ONE* 5, e11592 (2010). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011592; pmid: 20676364
- M. Lenoir, S. H. Ahmed, Supply of a nondrug substitute reduces escalated heroin consumption. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33, 2272–2282 (2008). doi: 10.1038/sj.npp.1301602; pmid: 17971831
- M. Lenoir, F. Serre, L. Cantin, S. H. Ahmed, Intense sweetness surpasses cocaine reward. *PLOS ONE* 2, e698 (2007). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000698; pmid: 17668074
- M. Venniro *et al.*, Volitional social interaction prevents drug addiction in rat models. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 1520–1529 (2018). doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0246-6; pmid: 30323276
- S. H. Ahmed, Individual decision-making in the causal pathway to addiction: Contributions and limitations of rodent

models. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **164**, 22–31 (2018). doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2017.07.005; pmid: 28709784

- S. H. Ahmed, Trying to make sense of rodents' drug choice behavior. Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 87 (Pt A), 3–10 (2018). doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.09.027; pmid: 28965843
- C. L. Pickens et al., Neurobiology of the incubation of drug craving. Trends Neurosci. 34, 411–420 (2011). doi: 10.1016/ j.tins.2011.06.001; pmid: 21764143
- M. Venniro et al., The Protective Effect of Social Reward on Opioid and Psychostimulant Reward and Relapse: Behavior, Pharmacology, and Brain Regions. J. Neurosci. 42, 9298–9314 (2022). doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0931-22.2022; pmid: 36517252
- M. Heilig, D. H. Epstein, M. A. Nader, Y. Shaham, Time to connect: Bringing social context into addiction neuroscience. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 17, 592–599 (2016). doi: 10.1038/ nrn.2016.67; pmid: 27277868
- E. P. Azevedo *et al.*, A Role of Drd2 Hippocampal Neurons in Context-Dependent Food Intake. *Neuron* **102**, 873–886.e5 (2019). doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.03.011; pmid: 30930044
- Y. G. Park *et al.*, Protection of tissue physicochemical properties using polyfunctional crosslinkers. *Nat. Biotechnol.* (2018). pmid: 30556815
- E. Shelhamer, J. Long, T. Darrell, Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation. arXiv:1605.06211 [cs.CV] (2016).
- O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, T. Brox, U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation. Quantum algorithmic measurement. arXiv.1505.04597 [cs.CV] (2015). doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-24574-4_28
- K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, J. Sun, Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. arXiv.1512.03385 [cs.CV] (2015).
- M. Jin et al., SMART: An Open-Source Extension of WholeBrain for Intact Mouse Brain Registration and Segmentation. eNeuro 9, ENEURO.0482-21.2022 (2022). doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0482-21.2022; pmid: 35396258
- S. L. Wolock, R. Lopez, A. M. Klein, Scrublet: Computational Identification of Cell Doublets in Single-Cell Transcriptomic Data. Cell Syst. 8, 281–291.e9 (2019). doi: 10.1016/ j.cels.2018.11.005; pmid: 30954476
- M. D. Young, S. Behjati, SoupX removes ambient RNA contamination from droplet-based single-cell RNA sequencing data. *Gigascience* 9, giaa151 (2020). doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giaa151; pmid: 33367645
- T. Stuart *et al.* 3rd, Y. Hao, M. Stoeckius, P. Smibert, R. Satija, Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888–1902.e1821 (2019). doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giaa151; pmid: 33367645
- E. Becht *et al.*, Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. *Nat. Biotechnol.* (2018). pmid: 30531897
- V. A. Traag, L. Waltman, N. J. van Eck, From Louvain to Leiden: Guaranteeing well-connected communities. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 5233 (2019). doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-41695-z; pmid: 30914743
- M. R. Zarrindast, T. Bahreini, M. Adl, Effect of imipramine on the expression and acquisition of morphine-induced conditioned place preference in mice. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **73**, 941–949 (2002). doi: 10.1016/S0091-3057(02) 00951-6; pmid: 12213541
- M. Pachitariu *et al.*, Suite2p: beyond 10,000 neurons with standard two-photon microscopy. bioRxiv [Preprint] (2020). doi: 10.1101/061507v2.full.pdf
- L. Sheintuch et al., Tracking the Same Neurons across Multiple Days in Ca²⁺ Imaging Data. Cell Rep. 21, 1102–1115 (2017). doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.10.013; pmid: 29069591
- M. J. Wagner et al., Shared Cortex-Cerebellum Dynamics in the Execution and Learning of a Motor Task. *Cell* 177, 669–682.e24 (2019). doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.019; pmid: 30929904
- A. Imperato, G. Tanda, R. Frau, G. Di Chiara, Pharmacological profile of dopamine receptor agonists as studied by brain dialysis in behaving rats. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **245**, 257–264 (1988). pmid: 3258912
- E. Carboni, A. Imperato, L. Perezzani, G. Di Chiara, Amphetamine, cocaine, phencyclidine and nomifensine increase extracellular dopamine concentrations preferentially in the nucleus accumbens of freely moving rats. *Neuroscience* 28, 653–661 (1989). doi: 10.1016/0306-4522 (89)90012-2; pmid: 2710338

Downloaded from https://www.science.org at Universidad de Valencia on June 03

2024

- 99. F. Pedregosa *et al.*, Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. arXiv:1201.0490 [cs.LG] (2011).
- B. Tan et al., Code and datasets accompanying B. Tan et al. "Drugs of abuse hijack a mesolimibic pathway that processes homeostatic need", version 1, Dryad (2024); https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.qrtj6q5nx.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank C. Bargmann and N. Heintz for advice and comments on the manuscript; V. Kondev and L. Reizis for their help with histological validations; E. Azevedo, L. Pomeranz, S. Stern, D. Lee, and Q. Lin for comments and advice on behavioral, molecular, imaging experiments, and data analysis; K. Hedbacker for illustrations; I. Piscitello for helping with manuscript submission; Alex Kwan for providing advice and materials on FOS-Seq; J. Petrillo at the Rockefeller University Precision Instrumentation Technology Facility. We thank J.-D. Luo for help with snRNAseq quality control and preliminary analysis; H. Duan and C. Zhao for help with preparing snRNAseq libraries; the team of the Rockefeller University Flow Cytometry Resource Center for help with FACS sorting; Z. Wu, C. Xu, and K. Ding for discussions; J. Gregory

(G.L. and J. W. Levy Library, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai) initial help with the summary figure, and A. Sappenfield for designing the final summary figure. Schematic illustrations in main and supplementary figures were created using BioRender. Funding: This work was funded by the following: National Institute of Neuronal Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, grant 5U01NS115530; National Institute of Neuronal Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, grant 1RF1NS110501; National Institute of Neuronal Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, grant 1RF1NS113251; National Science Foundation grant DBI-1707408; and Kavli Foundation (to A.V.); JPB Foundation (to J.M.F.); National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, grant P01DA047233 and National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, grant R01DA014133 (to E.J.N.); David Rockefeller Fellowship (to B.T.); National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada Postdoctoral Fellowship (to C.J.B.); Kavli Foundation, Kavli Neural Systems Institute at Rockefeller University, Kavli Fellowship (to T.N.). Author contributions: Conceptualization: B.T., C.J.B., J.M.F., and E.J.N. Behavioral, molecular, and biochemical experiments: B.T. and C.J.B. Functional imaging: B.T. and T.N. Formal analysis: B.T., C.J.B.,

and T.N. Visualization: B.T., C.J.B., T.N. Funding acquisition: A.V., J.M.F., E.J.N. Project administration and supervision: A.V., J.M.F., E.J.N. Writing – original draft: B.T., C.J.B., E.J.N. Writing – review and editing: B.T., C.J.B., T.N., A.V., J.M.F., E.J.N. **Competing interests:** Authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** All data are available in the manuscript and supplementary material. Additional datasets and custom analysis codes necessary to understand the conclusions are deposited at Dryad (*100*). **License information:** Copyright © 2024 the authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original US government works. https://www.science.org/about/ science-licenses-journal-article-reuse

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

science.org/doi/10.1126/science.adk6742 Figs. S1 to S10 MDAR Reproducibility Checklist Submitted 4 Sentember 2023: accented 26 Febru

Submitted 4 September 2023; accepted 26 February 2024 10.1126/science.adk6742